North Yorkshire County Council

Business and Environmental Services

Executive Members

21 February 2020

Community Transport Funding

Report of the Assistant Director – Transport, Waste and Countryside Services

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To update the Corporate Director – Business and Environmental Services (BES) and BES Executive Members on funding applications for Community Transport (CT) and to seek approval to award grants.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 At its meeting on the 26 July 2011, the Council's Executive approved a methodology for distribution of grant funding for Community Transport in North Yorkshire and delegated authority to the Corporate Director Business and Environmental Services in conjunction with Executive Members, to award grants up to £100,000.
- 2.2 This report seeks approval to determine grant applications where sufficient information is available for full project assessment.

3.0 Summary of Application

- 3.1 Five applications by registered charities are being considered in this report and have been assessed against the guidelines agreed by Members. Project assessment sheets are included at Appendix 1 and a summary is set out in paragraph 4 below.
- 3.2 As part of the assessment process the applications have been circulated to a grants panel which involves staff from Health and Adult Services (HAS), Stronger Communities and Integrated Passenger Transport (IPT). No objections have been raised.

4.0 Application Assessment – Full Application

- 4.1 Dial-a-Ride Scarborough & District provides safe, reliable, affordable and accessible community transport for older people, people with a disability or because of limited access to Public Transport. This application is requesting a grant (75%) towards the purchase of a fully accessible minibus to replace an existing minibus which is now eight years old and becoming increasingly expensive to maintain.
- 4.2 Ryedale Community Transport provides accessible community transport for older people, people with a disability, or isolated rural communities. This application is requesting a grant (75%) towards the purchase of a specialist fully accessible minibus to replace an existing specialist minibus which is now nine years old and becoming increasingly expensive to maintain.

- 4.3 Stokesley & District Community Care Association operates two minibuses and is applying for funding to address a shortfall of £10,000 towards the purchase of a new replacement minibus. Stokesley CCA was awarded a capital grant of £34,200 in March 2019 as a 75% contribution for the purchase of a replacement vehicle but, the cost was subsequently found to be considerably more. Although Stokesley CCA has been proactive in seeking other funding the organisation now requires an additional £10,000 to complete the purchase. This grant application, in conjunction with the grant awarded in March 2019 represents a 60% contribution towards the purchase of a new minibus which will ensure that Stokesley & District Community Care Association can continue to provide their wide range of services.
- 4.4 Thirsk District Community Minibus Association provides affordable group community transport for Thirsk, Sowerby and the surrounding area for a wide range of groups. This grant, which would provide 50% of the necessary funds to purchase a new accessible minibus will replace an existing non-accessible minibus which is ten years old and becoming increasingly expensive to maintain.
- 4.5 Whitby & District Community Transport provides accessible transport for older people, people with a disability or because of limited access to public transport. This application is requesting a grant (75%) towards the purchase of a new accessible additional minibus to extend the current dial-a-ride service into the Esk Valley.

Applicant	Description	Cost £ 2019/20	Total £	Recommendation
Dial-a-Ride Scarborough & District	New wheelchair accessible minibus (75%)	29,293	29,293	Approve
Ryedale Community Transport	New specialist wheelchair accessible minibus (75%)	58,230	58,230	Approve
Stokesley & District Community Care Association	New wheelchair accessible minibus (60% overall in conjunction with previous grant)	10,000	10,000	Approve
Thirsk District Community Minibus Association	New wheelchair accessible minibus (50%)	30,000	30,000	Approve
Whitby & District Community Transport	New wheelchair accessible minibus (75%)	39,903	39,903	Approve
TOTAL		167,426		

4.6 Table 1 Summary of Applications

5.0 Legal Implications

5.1 The Council is entitled to award grants to promote well-being within the County under Section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000 (as amended), subject to being compliant with the European rules on State Aid.

- 5.2 Consideration has been given as to whether the grant funding for any for the five projects above for community transport could constitute state aid within the meaning of Article 107 (1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). In order for unlawful State aid to apply, all elements of Article 107 (1) TFEU need to be present, that is:
 - the aid granted is imputable to the State; and
 - the aid distorts, or threatens to distort competition; and
 - the aid favours certain undertakings or the production of certain goods; and
 - the aid affects trade between Member States.
- 5.3 The first element of the test above is satisfied as the aid is being provided by North Yorkshire County Council.
- 5.4 It is considered that the second element of the test is not satisfied. The provision of the grant to any of the five applicants above would not distort, or threaten to distort competition, in the community transport market, as the provision of the grant will be used to support either remote or rural low demand areas that do not meet the criteria for supported bus service or accessible transport with more personal assistance for people who have difficulty using conventional public transport services, these services are not attractive to commercial suppliers. Furthermore, it is considered that the provision of local transport services via grants of this nature to disabled or vulnerable individuals in predominately rural areas will not affect trade between Member States.
- 5.5 On this basis, the criteria for State aid will not be satisfied and therefore it is considered there will be no state aid to the grant applicants on this occasion.
- 5.6 The grant applicants will be required to enter into a grant agreement with the County Council which will be drafted by legal services.

6.0 Equalities Impact Assessment

6.1 Consideration has been given to the potential for any adverse equality impacts arising from the proposed standards. It is the view of officers that the proposals will not have significant adverse impact on any groups of people with protected characteristics identified in the Equalities Act 2010. Proper regard has been given to the public sector equality duty and a 'decision not to EIA' document has been completed and is appended at Appendix 2.

7.0 Financial Implications

- 7.1 The Countywide BES budget for Community Transport for the financial year 2019/20 is £373,600. With current expenditure and grant applications, as set out in Table 1 above, the estimated outturn is £362,600. This would leave £11,000 uncommitted for the remainder of the financial year.
- 7.2 Assuming the recommendations are accepted, this is affordable from the community transport element of the IPT base budget.

8.0 Recommendation

8.1 That the Corporate Director – Business and Environmental Services approves grant funding to the five applicants for the projects listed in Table 1 at 4.6.

IAN FIELDING Assistant Director – Transport, Waste and Countryside Services

Author of Report: Dianne Pottage

Background Documents: None

Project Name	Accessible Minibus Date January 2020					nuary 2020	
Name of Organisation	Dial-a-Ride Scarborough & District						
Main contact name	Juli	e Banks					
Telephone	01723 35443		34	Email: julie@scarborougo		ugdialaride.org	
Total funding requested	29,293						
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:							
Dial-a-Ride Scarborough & Dis purchase of a fully accessible F hydraulic tail lift and a side drop vehicle will be capable of accor passengers travelling in wheele Daily services are available en- shopping, support groups etc.	Rena p dov mmo chair	ult Maste wn step v dating uj s plus 4 s	er min with hi- o to 12 seated	ibus tha -vis integ 2 seated 1 passer	t will inco grated gra passeng ngers.	rporate a rear ab rails. The ers or 2	
Clear evidence of unmet nee (Yes/No and evidence)	av			High demand for services, above average population of older adults and low car ownership.			
Supports the Council's priority outcomes (Yes/No and evidence)		Y	Clients are able to access health services (53%), as well as doing their own shopping (52%). Being able to make this journey with Dial-a-Ride reduces isolation (40%). Source: Dial- a-Ride Scarborough & District 2019 survey.				
Meets or exceeds quality standard (Yes/No and evidence)		Y	Midas training provided to all driving staff and volunteers. Organisation provides contract services and therefore meets the required safety standards.				
Demonstrates organisation efficiency, financial management and partnersh approach	A Y Good use of scheduling			duling sy ow comp	of volunteers, efficient g systems mean staff ratios ompared to number of provided.		
Quantified benefits of the project (yes/no and value p year)		Y	29,50 (non contr journ	acted			
Is funding request for core sup	port			No			
Does the organisation hold comme		rcial cont	racts f	Yes			
Would approval of the grant give a		competitive advantage No					
Comments Recommendation		volunte solutio	eers, r n.			ntirely by sustainable	
Necommenuation		Approve					

Project Name	Replacement Minibus Programme 2019/20			Date January 2020		
Name of Organisation	Ryedale Community Transport					
Main contact name	Kenneth Gill					
Telephone	01653 699059		Em ken	-	dalect.org	
Total funding requested	58,230					
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:						
Ryedale Community Transport the purchase of a Mellor Tuck years old minibus. The vehicle side entrance with no internation bars. The vehicle will be cap passengers or 5 passengers passengers.	cana II minik cle will incor al steps or r pable of acc travelling in	pus th porate aised comme n whe	at will re e a rear areas, p odating elchairs	eplace ar tail lift an olus hand up to 16 plus 4 s	n existing 9 nd kneel at the drail and safety seated seated	
care, support groups etc.		_		-		
Clear evidence of unmet nee (Yes/No and evidence)				le local services, which ise would not be available.		
Supports the Council's prior outcomes (Yes/No and evidence)	ritv		ents are able to access essential rvices, and also reduce isolation			
Meets or exceeds quality standard (Yes/No and evidence)	staff provi there		Midas training provided to all driving staff and volunteers. Organisation provides contract services and therefore meets the required safety standards			
Demonstrates organisation efficiency, financial management and partnersh approach	ip			volunteer	'S	
Quantified benefits of the project (yes/no and value poyear)						
Is funding request for core sup	port		No			
Does the organisation hold con	nmercial con	tracts	Yes			
Would approval of the grant give	/e a competi	tive ad	lvantage		No	
Comments	Funding is required for service continuity and development			ce continuity		
Recommendation	Approv	/e				

Project Name	New Minibus Date January 2020							
Name of Organisation	Stokesley and District Community Care Association				Association			
Main contact name	Philip Henderson							
Telephone	01642 710085 Email: manager@stokesleycca.ouk			sleycca.org.				
Total funding requested	10,000							
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:								
Stokesley and District Commun £10,000 in order to meet a func accessible minibus to replace t is becoming increasingly exper In March 2019, Stokesley CCA contribution for the purchase of	hortfall der of tw to maint awarde	towai /o cui tain. d a ca	rds th rrent	ne pu vehi¢ I grar	rchase o cles in u nt of £34	of a F se. 1	iat Ducato The vehicle as 75%	
ordered the vehicle it became of (£71,894.20 total cost). Stokes having raised £27,694 but has	clear t sley C	that the CA has	cost beer	was n pro	going	g to be c	onsid	lerably more
The vehicle will be used to provide daily services to enable access to health care day and respite care, shopping, support groups etc.				ealth care,				
Clear evidence of unmet need (Yes/No and evidence)		Y	Valuable local services which otherwise would not be available.					
Supports the Council's prior outcomes (Yes/No and evidence)	rity	Y	Clients are able to access essential services, and also reduces isolation.					
Meets or exceeds quality standard (Yes/No and evidence)		Y	Midas training provided to all driving staff and volunteers.			all driving		
Demonstrates organisation efficiency, financial management and partnersh approach	other CT schemes in the area.			rea.				
Quantified benefits of the project (yes/no and value project year)	hassender journevs her annum			num. er journeys				
Is funding request for core sup	port							No
Does the organisation hold comme		rcial contracts for transport No			No			
Would approval of the grant give a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					No	
Comments	Funding is required for service continuity and development			ontinuity				
Recommendation	Approve							

Project Name	Keep Us Moving! Date January 2020				ry 2020			
Name of Organisation	Thi	sk District Community Minibus Association						
Main contact name	Alexandra Little							
Telephone				Email: tdcma@btcor	Email: tdcma@btconnect.com			
Total funding requested	30,000							
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:								
Thirsk District Community Minil purchase of a new accessible r minibus which is 10 years old a The vehicle will be used to prov service providers, care homes,	minik and k vide	ous to rep pecoming commun	place g incre nity tra	an e easir	existing non-ac ngly expensive	cess to n	sible naintain.	
Clear evidence of unmet need (Yes/No and evidence)		Y		Valuable local services, which otherwise would not be available.				
Supports the Council's priority outcomes (Yes/No and evidence)		Y		Group access to services and reduction.			and reduced	
Meets or exceeds quality standard (Yes/No and evidence)		Y / N	dem	The organisation will be required to demonstrate adherence to a recognised quality standard.				
Demonstrates organisational efficiency, financial management and partnership approach		Y	Good use of volunteers and wide range of groups making use of the vehicles.			d wide		
Quantified benefits of the project (yes/no and value per year)		Y	Current usage approximately 3,000 passengers per annum. Increase usage by 5% over three years				crease	
Is funding request for core support						No		
Does the organisation hold comme		rcial contracts for transport				No		
Would approval of the grant give	competitive advantage No							
Comments	ai to			Funding is required for service continuity and development. Contribution of 50% towards the purchase of a new accessible minibus.				
Recommendation		Approve						

Project Name	New Accessible Date Janu Minibus			Date Janua	-		
Name of Organisation	Whitby & District Community Transport						
Main contact name	Alan Lund						
Telephone	01947 606440/602982 Ema			nail: alan@wo	ail: alan@wdctwhitby.org		
Total funding requested	39,903						
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:							
 Whitby & District Community Transport is requesting a contribution of 75% towards the purchase of a fully accessible Volkswagen Crafter Maxi Startline which has removable seating to allow easy changes to the seating configuration and space for two wheelchair users. Daily services are available enabling access to health care, day and respite care, shopping, support groups etc. The vehicle will be used to expand the current dial-a-ride service into the Esk 							
Valley. Clear evidence of unmet net (Yes/No and evidence)	ed ^Y		Valuable local services which otherwise would not be available.				
Supports the Council's prior outcomes (Yes/No and evidence)	rity Y Improve access to also reduce isolat				services, and		
Meets or exceeds quality standard (Yes/No and evidence)	Y	staff prov ther	as training provided to all driving and volunteers. Organisation rides contract services and efore meets the required safety dards				
Demonstrates organisation efficiency, financial management and partnersh approach		I Y Good use of volunteers.					
Quantified benefits of the project (yes/no and value p year)	l dial-a-ride journevs per annum			nnum. ger journeys			
Is funding request for core sup	port	· · · ·			No		
Does the organisation hold comme		cial contracts for transport Yes			Yes		
Would approval of the grant give a		petitive ad	lvantage	Э	No		
Comments	and	Funding is required for service continuity and development. The new minibus will be driven by volunteers.					
Recommendation	Арр	orove					

Record of decision that Equality	· · ·
Directorate and service area	BES Directorate, IPT
Name and contact of officer(s) ta Dianne Pottage (dianne.pottage@r What are you proposing to do?	king decision that EIA not required
the distribution of grant funding for	the Council's Executive approved a methodology for Community Transport in North Yorkshire and delegated (BES) to award grants up to £100,000.
forms are considered first by officer a cross-directorate grants panel, be in consultation with Executive Cour	nal funding is appropriate or necessary, application rs within Integrated Passenger Transport (IPT), then by efore being presented to the Corporate Director (BES), nty Council members.
Why are you proposing this?	
	ofor North Yorkshire recognises that there should be ransport services across the county. The community n the delivery of the strategy.
should be dictated largely by the in addition, the local authority and Clin or higher level information as well a access to, or no local bus services	
Does the proposal involve a sign	nificant commitment or removal of resources?
No. As the proposal will result in a services will either be maintained o	grant award, resources for Community Transport
	ng for customers or staff? What will change?
the focus on continuous improvement	hoc basis. In all instances changes will be driven with ent for the benefit of transport sustainability. orse for people with protected characteristics (age,
	assignment, religion or belief, pregnancy or maternity,
No, new or replacement vehicles w characteristics	ill maintain or improve things for people with protected
How do you know? Do you have	e any evidence to support your assessment?
identification of gaps in existing ser	nsport is set up to improve services following the vices. Maintaining or improving level of service will individuals, including those with protected
	ict on people with protected characteristics can this
principles of the CT strategy, or its	or any type of end user group as a result of the application through the grant programme. However, n to prioritise where limited resources should be spent

	not have a duty in this area, rather it is a discretionary					
power, and discretion can be exercised to support policy objectives.						
Could the proposal have a significant negative impact on some people with protected characteristics or a less severe negative impact on a lot of people with protected characteristics? If "Yes" more detailed analysis should be undertaken						
and an EIA completed.						
·						
No adverse impact is anticipated						
	ea where there are known inequalities (e.g.					
disabled people's access to publ						
	. ,					
Yes.						
Could the proposal have a greate	er negative impact on people in rural areas?					
	ors are invited to apply for funding and it is not a					
	is not felt that this proposal has any negative impact					
on people or operators in deeper ru						
Could the proposal have a worse	Could the proposal have a worse impact on people with less money?					
No greater adverse impact has been identified						
Will the proposal have a significant effect on how other organisations operate (e.g.						
• • • •	partners, funding criteria, etc.). Do any of these organisations support people with					
protected characteristics?	protected characteristics?					
No adverse impact is anticipated for any type of operator, as a result of the principles of the CT strategy, or its application through the grant programme. However, funding is finite						
	and decisions taken to prioritise where limited resources should be spent may					
disadvantage one organisation over						
Do the answers to the previous	Yes					
questions make it reasonable						
to conclude that there will be						
no or very limited adverse impacts on people with						
protected characteristics?						
Will there be no or limited	Yes					
adverse impacts on people in	103					
rural areas?						
Further analysis and full EIA	No					
required						
Decision not to undertake EIA						
approved by (Assistant Director						
or equivalent)						
Date:						